



the SEMINARIAN

VOL. XXVI

September 17, 1964

No. 2

HEIGES TO MEET WEDNESDAY WITH STUDENTS

Next Wednesday, September 23, Dr. Heiges, president of the Seminary, has arranged to meet with the entire Student Body, exclusive of the staff and faculty, to discuss questions which students might have and also to explain his position on campus and the positions of other administrative personnel. This meeting will be held in the Chapel at 4 p.m. Dr. Heiges would like to have all students attend if at all possible.

One matter which Dr. Heiges will be discussing is the Memorandum of Understanding which defines the joint arrangement with Gettysburg under which Mt. Airy now operates. This document is divided into five areas. The first deals with the president's position as chief administrative officer of both seminaries. The second article of this memorandum defines the president's responsibility to the two boards, and creates the office of administrative dean.

The third article is the longest and deals with the establishment of the Joint Executive Committee. The three subsections of this deal with the composition of the committee, its authority and limitations, and the specific duties and functions which it is to perform.

The Fourth article of the memorandum outlines how the financial responsibility for the office of the president shall be divided between the two seminaries, and the fifth and final article deals with the joint meeting of the two boards to review and evaluate.




CONFERENCE ROOM OPENED IN REFESTORY

Mr. Heinbach announced last week that it is now possible for student committees to meet in one of the con-

ference rooms up stairs in the Refectory.

Committee chairmen who anticipate using this room should schedule its use with Mr. Heinbach prior to the meeting. One reservation which is made is that if at any time the administration wishes to use this room, student meetings will be cancelled.

There is only one rule to observe in using the room, according to Mr. Heinbach: leave the room as you find it. Put chairs and card tables away after you are finished with the meeting. If you have coffee cups and napkins, etc. there for the meeting, please gather them together and place them in the trash can down stairs.



1964-65 FACULTY COMMITTEES ANNOUNCED

Dr. Heiges revealed Monday the members of the Faculty who have been appointed to various committees for the 1964-65 school year. Any matters concerning these five areas should be taken directly to the chairman of the committee or its ex officio member.

The Academic Policies Committee is chaired by William H. Lazareth who was nominated dean of the Faculty by the faculty at their May 31 meeting. Dr. Lazareth has also been appointed Administrative Dean by Dr. Heiges. Other members of the committee include: Martin J. Heinecken, John H.P. Reumann, Theodore G. Tappert; & Erich J. Voehringer.

Chairman of the Admissions Committee is John H.P. Reumann. Also on this committee are Foster R. McCurley, Jr., and John A. Kaufmann, ex officio.

The Integrated Curriculum Committee, whose primary task is the running of the "Pilot Project", is chaired by Richard W. Lundin. John W. Doberstein and William H. Lazareth are also members of this committee. (Cont. on Page 3)

Editorial --

FIND SCOPE OF YOUR CONCERN!

Once upon a time there was a group of men with a common task. Their task was to sit all day and contemplate their navels. After much contemplation, they discovered that they could be divided into two camps on the basis of their navel construction. They started two clubs. One group was known as the "in'ies" and the other group was known as the "out'ies". It was discovered that much time could be spent on the physical distinction of these two groups' navels. The groups structured themselves with forms and action patterns based on this distinction.

Now isn't this silly! There is much more to a person than just the physical construction of his navel and how the doctor happened to tie the umbellical cord. These groups forgot that they should forget about themselves and take up the Christian's concern for the other person. The action patterns of the entire person as a child of God in the world of today should be the concern of every man entering the ministry. To get hung up on petty crusades and self-concerns is to choke off the Christian life blood which surges through our veins and seeks to flow from us to everyone around us.

We here are a community of the concerned. How wide spread is our concern? Does it stretch just across the hall, or through the dorm, or around the campus, or does it encompass all of God's world? Find the scope of your concern and then ask yourself whether or not it is too narrow. --Larry Burr.

- - - - -

Editorial --

SEEK SOLUTION FOR "COFFEE BREAK"

"Coffee Break" time at the Refectory yesterday morning was a mess, both from the standpoint of the students who wanted to drink their coffee and from the standpoint of the waiters. It seems as though when students sit down to drink their coffee at tables which are set up for lunch, they put finger prints on the plates and dishes and cause the kitchen staff to work

harder than usual in re-washing and re-setting up the tables.

From the viewpoint of the student who wants to relax and enjoy a cup of coffee, it seems ridiculous to crowd 12 or more men around a table when there are other tables where no one is sitting.

It seems to me that somebody failed to realize that we would have far more students attending coffee break this year than ever before and that space would have to be provided for this influx. One solution which has been presented is to secure the use of a couple of the rooms up stairs in the Refectory. There are two conference rooms up there at the present. One is reserved for Dr. Heiges' use for luncheon meetings and other administrative conferences. The other conference room has been opened up to students this year for use as a committee meeting room.

Realizing that coffee break will probably be an excellent time for many committees to meet, it would seem logical to me that this room and the entire up stairs should be kept free for committee meetings.

The question still remains as to what to do with the influx in coffee break attendance. I would suggest leaving the last six tables in the dining hall free of dishes during the morning hours. I also realize that the Refectory is closed between 11 and 12 noon. Perhaps one of the kitchen staff or one of the waiters who doesn't have an 11:45 class could set up the three back tables. It also seems possible that these three tables could be set up just before the noon meal if all of the waiters would pitch in and help out.

I am sure that a solution can be worked out, but it seems as though the kitchen and waiters staffs are going to have to do some adjusting. Larry Burr

- - - - -

Editorial Liner --

WHAT TREE IS THAT?

Maybe my eye sight is failing, but I find it very difficult to read the labels which are on the trees around the campus. Maybe we need some new signs which are easier to read?

1964-65 FACULTY COMMITTEES ANNOUNCED
(Continued from Page 1)

John W. Doberstein is chairman of the Library Committee. Other members of the committee are Gerhard Krodel, Clarence L. Lee, and Henry H. Scherer, ex officio.

Robert E. Bornemann is chairman of the Worship Committee. He will be assisted by George R. Seltzer, Charles P. Sigel, and Richard W. Lundin, ex officio.

There has been an Ex Officio Administrative Committee established by Dr. Heiges to help him in the administrative work load. Donald R. Heiges is chairman of the committee. Vice-chairman is William H. Lazareth. Other members of the committee are John A. Kaufmann, Richard W. Lundin, and Henry H. Scherer. This committee will handle any issue on which a decision is to be made, according to Dr. Heiges.

Other administrative appointments for the school year include: William H. Lazareth as Administrative Dean, Theodore G. Tappert as secretary of the Faculty, Theodore G. Tappert and John A. Kaufmann as co-administrators (pro-ten) of the graduate school, John A. Kaufmann as Registrar, Richard W. Lundin as Chaplain, Henry H. Scherer as Librarian, John H.P. Reumann and Charles P. Sigel as coordinators of the Pre-Seminary Summer Courses, Erich F. Voehringer as representative to the Ascension Lutheran Church Council, Martin J. Heincken as Convocation Committee Representative, John W. Doberstein as representative for the Inter-Seminary Faculty Conference, and Clarence L. Lee as Lutheran Quarterly Representative.

CHapel CHANGES BRING OUT MATURITY

"In an effort to put the student on his mature responsibility," changes have been made in the chapel structure for the 1964-65 school year, according to Richard W. Lundin, Chaplain. "The principles changes are the voice of the man himself in making certain selections and also the original or free service which used to be an option," Mr. Lundin stated.

"We are trying to give a greater measure of freedom to the readers this

year so that they have a greater voice in actually what takes place in chapel." He pointed out that what is trying to be conveyed in these changes is that "the guy who is reading the service is actually being given the responsibility of being a worship leader to the congregation at that particular time. We expect him to really come through and do that which is good for the seminary community, not simply to recite a service which somebody has assigned to him, and in the kind of prayers and the kind of hymns and everything really to be concerned for the spiritual life of the people and then to act as pastor for them for that particular time."

When asked about the selection of leaders for the services, Mr. Lundin said that this is "completely within Bob Danielson's responsibility. He assigns the men and he is also going to try to schedule the type of service in such a way that there is no predictable format, that is, Matins, or Morning Suffrages, or one of the free services will not fall of the same day but there will be a completely unpredictable schedule."

In regard to the free service, he said that "we are having every Senior conduct one service during the course of the year where he creates the entire service himself--an original service: formal or informal. In this type of service, he is supposed to build the thing by himself."

"The lesson is the only thing where there is any form of continuity from day to day, but even there if a fellow wants to use a lesson in addition to the one I have given him, he is free to do so."

"We are reading continuously in Colossians now, and then we are going to be reading Timothy, and then we are going to delve into I Corinthians; it is actually a continuous lectionary, rather than a blending with the liturgical season."

With reference to the background for these changes, Mr. Lundin said: "We did have sort of a sentiment-gathering session this summer with a representative group of students to try have them indicate what they felt was needed in the worship life of the church here; and quite consistently they all seemed to think that the thing was pretty much dead on its feet and that it needed some spark of vitality and reality about it."

INTERVIEWS WITH JUNIORS REVEAL
OPINIONS ON SEMINARY'S ORIENTATION PROG

by John B. Gansle

Perhaps one of the most difficult things for a student is being thrust into a new school situation involving new faces, new rules, new procedures, and different personal philosophies. The orientation program at Mt. Airy was designed to alleviate the tension and confusion and did so admirably. The following remarks are a compilation of the feelings expressed by some members of the junior class. Nothing has to be said in defense of the positive remarks, but it must be noted that those critical comments are to be taken constructively, not for the sole purpose of criticism for its own sake.

Basically, the orientation program was sound, adequate, and not too tiresome, as programs of this type tend to become. There were a few complaints concerning the number and types of tests administered. Al Kalin noted that since these exams are required by persons outside the seminary, the administration cannot be held responsible for choosing them and did the best it possibly could in scheduling them.

It was felt that the informal and relaxed atmosphere of the students and professors had a great deal to do with the smooth operation of the program. Mr. Bornemann noted that he was glad to see that the students reacted quickly to the informality on this campus.

The main gripe seemed to be that the Student Activities Orientation was too long and perhaps a little too informal. The consensus is that one person should present all of the functions of the 22 committees, not the leader of each group involved. In this way personalities could not become a deciding factor in choosing a committee. Each committee has its merits and should be chosen for those merits.

Klaus Kingstorf suggested that this meeting might be split into two sessions, so that the meeting would not be so tiring.

Another point mentioned was that meal-time regulations should be emphasized early in the program to eliminate being turned away because of tardiness.

GO PHILLIES GO

LARMI EXPRESSES DIFFICULTIES
WITH "EYES FAITH" CONCEPT

by Oliver Larmi

Prologue: One reason, among others, why I took leave of seminary to study at Penn, was that people were neither asking nor answering the kind of questions I was posing. In hopes that I can maintain while on leave some sort of dialogue, which in my first two years at the seminary usually consisted of one or more monologues, I submit this article and perhaps others like it. I hope it will attract replies by both students and faculty and establish the dialogue I missed in the past two years.

It is maintained in some theological circles, e.g., Barthian and others, that Christians see things that are hidden to non-Christians. They say the Christians receive what is called "the eyes of faith", which open realities to them that remain unobserved by the non-Christian.

This position contains at least four difficulties, which are sufficient, if not resolved, to discredit it. 1) The difficulty of specifying exactly what the non-Christian cannot see or understand. Suppose you know a non-Christian scholar in the field of Comparative Religion who specializes in Christianity. He makes a careful study of Christian writings, attends services, maintaining all the while his personal faith secret in order to have a candid view of his subject. In short he poses as a Christian in order to get an intimate view of it. Now what would his subsequent lectures and books lack by virtue of his lack of "the eyes of faith"? Would anyone be able to tell that he was not a Christian during his period of study? If not what does it mean to say, that the Christian sees things the non-Christian doesn't? Surely if parts of experience are hidden to the non-Christian, a clever Christian could by asking the right questions, distinguish Christians from non-Christians

2) The difficulty with what happens to apostates. Do they suddenly lose their eyes of faith? If they do can they remember what they used to see with them?

3) The difficulty in the nature of the "eyes of faith". Are they physiological? Does something happen to the retina or something when you are converted?

Continued on the next page

LARI EXPRESSES DIFFICULTIES

Continued from preceding page

Or is it something psychological? Or is it something spiritual? Does something happen to man's soul?

I think the concept of "the eyes of faith" derives from a generally discredited anthropology namely, the Platonic. If indeed man has a soul in Plato's sense, then I can more readily understand what it means to acquire the eyes of faith. But if you discard such a dualism and speak of man as a psychomatic unity, then I am at a loss as to what the acquisition of the eyes of faith can mean.

4) Finally, the difficulty of giving grounds for the affirmation that Christians see things non-Christians can't.

In another article I hope to give an interpretation which may do the same.

PHILADELPHIA SEMINARY DEDICATES
GOWEN MANSION (NOW HAGAN HALL)

by Walter Enquist

In the 1889 memorial issue of the Indicator, the official publication of the Philadelphia Seminary at that time, it states that on October 4, the Philadelphia Seminary celebrated its twenty-fifth anniversary and also the dedication of Gowen Mansion. In the main address of the dedication service, Dr. Seiss emphasized "this old home of elegance and comfort has opened its doors to us and has now renewed its youth."

The visitors thought that the whole property presented a new and attractive appearance. The grounds immediately surrounding the Mansion had been "freshly soded and graveled walks were laid out in convenient directions."

As the seminary term had already begun the previous week, "the students had an opportunity to make themselves comfortable in the upper floors of Gowen Mansion. As a result, the visitors on the tour of inspection found everywhere rooms cozily furnished with tables, reading desks, books, and the accoutrements of student life. Special interest was manifest by mothers and sisters in the equipment and general appearance of the room. The closets were inspected, the carpets examined, and the bedrooms viewed with a knowing air. And in every instance, the verdict was in favor of those whose kind care had made

all these provisions."

In a poem dedicated to the Seminary, Rev. Gerberding praises this new dorm: "How bright thy spacious halls! Thy rooms, how full of cheer!"

We should be further encouraged by Rev. Schmauk: "To spend three years of youth in this elevated and beautiful park of over five acres is an ideal privilege." But perhaps we can now agree: "after all, the Seminary is not a site in buildings."

FREE PHONE BACK IN OPERATION

The "free phone" is now in operation in F Hall. Upperclassmen should note that the calling area has been greatly extended. All students should remember that any long distance calls shall bring to them a ten dollar fine plus the cost of the long distance phone call. Long distance calls may also mean the removal of this free service. If you have any questions about the phone, see Bob Boyer, 15 - B Hall.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC MUSINGS

by Henry Scherer, Librarian

INCUNABULA is the librarian's word for books printed in the 15th Century, on or before 1500. We hold 14 such volumes in Krauth Memorial Library. Half a dozen of these old books are now on display in the library rotunda. Be sure to see them before the display changes on September 28.

THE SEMINARIAN is a weekly publication of the Student Body of the Lutheran Theological Seminary, 7301 Germantown Ave., Philadelphia, Pa., 19119.

This paper appears every Thursday morning during the academic year except during vacation and testing periods.

Larry Burr, editor; Paul Boyerchin, John Soliday, Bob Peery, Walt Enquist, Peter Baade, John Gansle, Sheriff Slingerland.

Contributors: John Gansle, Oliver Larni, Walter Enquist, Lou Finkle, Les Widenhauer, Frederic Brussat.

WORLD SERIES PREVIEW

by Lou Dunkle

In the spring a young man's fancy turns to a hunk of round horsehide with neatly placed stitches flowing melodiously around it in seemingly endless fashion. Throughout the long, hot summer this same young man is enraptured with the excitement that surrounds this inanimate object which is said, by many an astute observer, to hop like a rabbit. But now, with fall encroaching, the pinnacle of excitement is reached; the World Series is just around that ubiquitous corner.

The Junior circuit is currently engaged in an extremely tight three horse race between "monopolistic, abominable New York Yankees," the Go-Go White Sox, and the heady hurlers of the birdmen from Baltimore. Which team will come out wearing the olive wreath? You had better wager the rent money on the U. S. Olympians against the Reds rather than the American League battlers. The Yankees have long been known to tighten the hatches in the last days of a season and their defense is tougher to tumble than six Russian five-year plans. The Orioles have the stingiest pitching staff in the league and manage to get the key hits necessary to win from Boog Powell and Brooks Robinson. The Chicago White Sox are the Junior league's answer to the Philadelphia Phillies. They have no regular batting over .300 and no great power hitters; they simply win with solid baseball, good coaching, and clutch pitching. The Sox were blessed in the winter trade market this past year in picking up slugger Bill Skowron who has helped their attack along with Pete Ward, Ron Hansen (who came to the Sox in exchange for Luis Aparicio a few seasons back in a youth move), and Floyd Robinson.

Who do you take? The experienced but rapidly aging Yankee slugger, along with the hard worked Whitey Ford, are my choice. This may be the end of an era for the New Yorkers unless they come up with some real good young blood. The wheels of Mantle, Maris, and Howard are not so swift as they used to be. The young pitchers who blossomed from nowhere last year have been hit with the sophomore jinx and victories do not flow so easily this campaign. But the Yanks and the Yanks and rarely are they so close with-

out taking the whole cake. This year may prove to be no exception.

The Orioles have fine pitching but little else. They don't deserve to be pennant winners because they don't have the guns. Their up-position in the standings are more the result of their opponent's weaknesses than their own skill. The weakness of the American league has always been an established fact. As a result the only strong team, the New York Yankees, for years were able to monopolize. Now the Yanks are old but still in contention because the other clubs are still weak. As proof of the league's weakness let's take a case in point: the Los Angeles Angels. They have been in existence for less than three full years and yet have been in the first division twice. Two times in the money with minor league material and major league cast-offs. Two times they have beaten the likes of the Boston Red Sox, the Cleveland Indians, the Kansas City A's, the mighty bats of the Minnesota Twins, and the lowly in everything Washington Senators...and they have done it with clowns like Jim Piersall and Bo Belinsky drawing nuthouses and Mamie Van Doren into the locker room. The Orioles high placement is a result of the league's weakness.

The White Sox are solid, speedy, and saucy in their youthfulness. They may be the best ball club in the American League...next year...and perhaps this year yet. They have spot power, tough pitching, and good management. They may be due.

When you put all the factors together concerning these three clubs, you have nothing that can best the Phillies. The Phillies will be extra tough for any of these AL contenders. They have the power that is necessary from both sides of the plate with Allen, Thomas, Triandos, Callison, Covington, Gonzalez, Dalrymple. They have the best pitching staff in either league. They have speed and clutch hitting. They have the finest manager in baseball in the person of Gene Mauch. They have a fine defense and a power packed bench, not to mention the versatility Mauch can get out of his players. And to top them all, they have the desire to take it all, and this is the "x" factor that has allowed them to pull out and away from the rest of the strong National League clubs.

(Continued on next page)

WORLD SERIES PREVIEW

(Continued from preceding page)

In the American League race you may take our own esteemed Dr. Lazareth's oft given advice: "Pay your money and take your choice." As for the World Series, you can bet the rent money on the world conquering, Mauch inspired, Whiz Kids of 1964; on this you can bet the rent money. Gene Mauch won't let them lose!

- - - - -

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR * * * * *

16 September 1964

Dear Editor Burr:

I have a problem and am wondering if you might be able to do anything to either sooth the problem or give a remedy to it. The problem can at best be circumscribed as a "soft" one. Perhaps I'm just used to the way things were at Thiel or perhaps I'm just tender or sensitive, but the toilet paper in the "heads" sure is rough and scratchy! Might you be able to do anything about this dilemma? Thank you for your considerations. Please advise.

Wes Weidenhamer.

Dear Wes:

The toilet paper has been a rough situation around here for many years, I understand. B Hall and G Hall, I know, have found a solution to this problem. Through a copperative arrangement, they purchase "soft" paper for the use of the residents only.

I also notice that some kind anonymous soul has purchased three rolls of lavender "soft" paper and placed them in the "head" at the foot of the stairs in G Hall. This paper is on sale, on the honor system, for 35 sections for a penny.

I hope you find a soft answer to your tender sit-uation.

Larry Burr, Editor.

- - - - -

AN ADVERTISEMENT in the 1889 issue of the INDICATOR carries this ad for Thiel College: Co-Educational Institution. Full Collegiate Course. Total expense per annum, \$142.75. Ministers' children educated free of tuition. New building for ladies in course of erection.

THE CAMPAIGN: BASIC ISSUES OR MURDER?

by Frederic Brussat

The political tradition in America is one of frisky and clashing options. Herein we find an amazing heartiness and a dynamic and fertile heritage of freedom. In the last thirty years there has been a trend in all modern industrial societies toward a greater degree of government regulation in the economic life of the community. This has been the never changing principles of freedom. This is a true and noble goal.

However, there is naturally a reaction to this growing regulation by government. Throughout the history of our nation there has been a division of opinion and belief concerning two equally valid interests: the demands of national betterment and security and the claims of individual freedom. The lively and ever-challenging goal is to find a reasonable adjustment between these two values. While on this ground of thought, it is significant to note that a persistent battle throughout our history has been the clash between the incessant human drive for freedom, property, equality, and the stubborn determination of the privileged to maintain the status quo. Even more important, there is the continual antimony between numbers versus interests, public power versus private rights, majority rule versus minority rights, concentration of power versus constitution of rights, and political versus judicial restraints on government. It seems imperative that each citizen evaluate the candidates for President of the United States in light of their answers and stand in regard to these most basic and terrifying points!

Yet many vehicles of news and opinion are putting the stress upon other matters apart from these. Malicious attacks have been made upon both President Johnson and Mr. Goldwater. Many radical elements in Mr. Goldwater's camp have distributed leaflets and pamphlets besmirching President Johnson's personal life. On the other side, many newspapers and magazines have slurred Mr. Goldwater by quoting him out of

(Continued on Page 8)

LUNDIN DISCUSSED PHILOSOPHY OF PILOT PROJECT IN INTERVIEW ON TUESDAY

In an interview with Mr. Richard Lundin, practical theology, the basic philosophy and underlying principles of the new pilot project in the Senior Class were discussed. The pilot project was officially begun yesterday afternoon when the nine men and the pastors they will be working with met together to discuss the program and their respective positions in the program.

Mr. Lundin stated that this project is "an effort to try to merge the academic and the clinical, the studies of the seminary with the world. The seminary faculty has constantly taken the position that these two must be fused. The type of field work which it envisions must be meshed with the theology which is being studied here on campus."

"So what have we done," Mr. Lundin stated, "is to try to set up a kind of internship in which the student spends five days a week in the parish and two days of the week here in Seminary."

When asked whether this project had any tie with a future curriculum plan, Mr. Lundin said that "we can't say that this is a pilot project for any specific program, but the elements of this are the elements that we would anticipate for any kind of integrated curriculum, whether it be 33 months, 36 months, or 48 months. As it stands now, we are convinced of the philosophy of this, so that if it does prove practical, it will become the basis of the kind of curriculum that we will set up in the future. It is the integrated feature that is our real conviction."

Pursuing the matter of the integrated feature, Mr. Lundin how this would be worked out from the standpoint of academics. He said that "this integrated feature is born out of the subjects which they partake of even in these two days" in which they are on campus, "so that it will not be a regular lecture type of thing. On campus it will be problem-centered and experience-centered kind of teaching." "One of the big elements will be the colloquium which takes place on Wednesday afternoon. These nine students will meet with two or three of the faculty who are drawn from across the spectrum of the faculty. They will be chosen according to the issue that is

before the colloquium for that particular day."

In regard to the relationship between the pastor-supervisor and the seminary, he said that once a month the pastors will participate in this colloquium to make their own contributions and also to continue their own education. This, then, becomes both a learning and a teaching experience for them."

"I think that one important feature of this is that the pastor-supervisor becomes a member of the teaching team, because he must actually do for the Seminary some of the things which we previously hoped to accomplish in our formal classrooms, noting that the senior curriculum is almost completely in the practical field. We hope that, under the direction of the pastor-supervisor, many of these things in the field of preaching, teaching, and so forth will be accomplished in the parish situation."

The nine students participating in the pilot project are: Clair Anderson, Bethel; Robert Thomas, St. Simeon's; William Lee, Christ; George Freeman, Emanuel; Melvyn Hammarberg, Holy Communion and St. Matthew's; Ulrich Keemss, St. Micheal's; John Yedlicka Trinity, Abington; Roy Lahet, Trinity, Manoa; Fred Prezioso, St. John's Overbrook.

THE CAMPAIGN

continued from page 7

context or by distorting his words. Other means are used to harm a candidate. One of the most immoral acts of our time is the use of the innuendo. Stabbing a man with an innuendo is worse than stabbing him with a knife! How can a man prove to the satisfaction of the public that he is not mentally ill, a Communist, a fanatic?

It behoves us as citizens to face squarely the issues of the campaign and, most importantly, to critically and honestly analyze the news media involved in the campaign!

In the next issue, I would like to take a look at an article in The Christian Century by William Stringfellow entitled "God, Guilt, and Goldwater."

ARE YOU PARKED STRAIGHT???
SUPPORT SHERIFF SLINGERLAND * GOD'S
GOVERNMENT

Monday evening at a meeting of the Mt. Airy Football team, Mr. William Wittcopp was elected as coach for the 1964 football season. The team has been practicing all week with a special practice this Saturday morning at 9:30 a.m. The first game of the season will be against Philadelphia Divinity School at the Ardmore Field on Saturday, September 26 at 10:00 a.m. The sports' editor gives his congratulations to Mr. Wittcopp.

 GO ANGELS GO

"BEAT THE EXPERTS" COLUMN BEGINS
 SECOND YEAR OF REGULAR PUBLICATION

Two changes have been made in this year's column of "Beat the Experts" to create a greater student and faculty interest. First of all, the column itself. An individual has been added who has been labeled by the Sports' Editor as the "Phantom". Later on in the year, a contest will be held to guess the identity of this mysterious figure. All that can be said is that this person is not a student or a student's wife. This limits your choice to ONLY the faculty and their wives, the administration, the office staff, the library staff, the ground crew, the refectory staff, the cleaning ladies, and last but not least, Pastor Sitler.

Secondly, students and all the above mentioned individuals may submit their predictions of the football winner every week by writing their predictions in the "Y-O-U" section of the "Beat the Experts" roster. Place this roster, with your name, in the special box, conveniently placed in the T.V. room. The winner of the "Beat the Experts" contest will receive a trophy for his or her outstanding achievement. Your selections must be in the box by the Friday evening meal.

Come and "Beat the Experts!!"

It is hoped that for the first time in the recent history of the Seminary that the Mt. Airy Angels will be able to play Gettysburg on October 3.

 THE SEMINARIAN SPORTS PAGE
 Paul Payerchin, Sports Editor

	X	Air Force vs Washington	Gettysburg vs Hofstra	Navy vs Penn State	N'ithwst'rn vs Oregon St.	USC vs Colorado	Txs A&M vs LSU	Toledo vs Villa.	SMU vs Florida	Oakland vs Houston (AFL)	St. Louis vs Cleveland (NFL)
Slinger-land	Air Force	Gettysburg	Navy	Oregon St.	USC	Texas	Villa.	Florida	Houston	Cleveland	
Myrom	Washington	Gettysburg	Navy	N'ithwst'rn	USC	ISU	Toledo	Florida	Houston	Cleveland	
Y-O-U											
Jacobs	Washington	Hofstra	Navy	N'ithwst'rn	USC	Texas	Villa.	Florida	Oakland	Cleveland	
Hansen	Washington	Hofstra	Navy	N'ithwst'rn	USC	ISU	Toledo	Florida	Houston	Cleveland	
Phantom	Air Force	Gettysburg	Navy	N'ithwst'rn	USC	ISU	Villa.	SMU	Houston	Cleveland	
Totals	3 - 2	3 - 2	5 - 0	4 - 1	5 - 0	3 - 2	3 - 2	4 - 1	4 - 1	5 - 0	

Name _____
 Address or Room _____
 Place in T.V. room before Friday nite.

SHERIFF SUBMITS NOTE OF APPRECIATION

Participation in the "new" parking regulations, so far, can not go unnoticed as I feel obligated to thank all the parking students and faculty for their steadfastness. On passing I want to say that I wish that we only needed the Kingdom on the Right but to be realistic, we also need the Kingdom on the Left. Therefore, it is my "calling" to see to it that good order is maintained. You may sneer at this and occasionally wink at the parking regulations but don't forget -- "BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU."

--Sheriff Slingerland --
God's Government

SYMPOSIUM COMMITTEE SETS CALENDAR

We would like to settle our annual calendar very soon. Please bring to me your symposium topics now.

At your service,
Matthew S. Manuya,
Committee Chairman

-- Flash -- Flash -- Flash -- Flash

Latest news from the Book Store

THE COMMON SENSE CLASS-BOOK

for Sabbath-Schools.

(Copyrighted 1879 and 1889.)

Third Revised Edition--Twenty-eight Thousand.

The best thing yet to insure System and Accuracy in Sunday-School Statistics, and to attain Punctuality and Liberality among Teachers and Scholars.

No waste of time for any one, and no one deprived of the benefits of the School by being engaged in mere clerical work.

A few minutes will suffice for the Secretary and Treasurer to make out their reports.

Price: The Revised Edition, \$1.00 per dozen, postage prepaid. With the "Cassock" Collection Envelope attached, \$1.25 per dozen, postage prepaid.

(From Indicator issue of 1889)

"WILL WARREN REPORT ANSWER THESE UNANSWERED QUESTIONS?"

1. Will the Warren Commission question the propriety of quizzing Oswald for hours, of dragging him before television cameras and question-hurling newspaper reporters, of failure to provide a lawyer to represent him? And their transcripts of what Oswald said during those hours of questioning and will the Commission reveal them?

2. Will the conflict in the medical reports be resolved? How did the doctors at Parkland Hospital describe the hole in the President's throat as an entry wound, then change their story after a meeting with two Secret Service men?

3. Will the commission take up the ultimate assertion that the FBI failed to protect the President, Johnson, the press, the Establishment, and the Warren commission itself, are conspiring to keep the truth from the public? Is it not ironical that the dismissed director of CIA, Allen Dulles, is now investigating the assassination of President Kennedy, the man who fired him for untrustworthiness?

4. Why was the route of the motorcade changed on the morning of the assassination with the result that the change in route brought the President directly beneath the windows of the Book Depository?

5. Will the Warren Commission explain why a known defector with a history of known emotional disturbance was not on the Secret Service's Risk List? Will the Commission in this regard explain why the existing Risk List was comprised of integration leaders?

6. Will the Commission reveal the transcript of Marina Oswald's testimony while under extended defacto house arrest?

7. Will the Warren Commission explain the subsequent deaths of persons related to witnesses of Tippit's murder?

8. Is there any reason why newspaper reporters were and are unable to find witnesses of Oswald's capture in the movie theater?

9. Will public apathy allow these questions to remain unanswered?